10/22/66

Dear Bill,

This has been a non-work day once I wrote you this a.m. Beginning with the mail nothing but interruptions, including a required trip to the lumber yard for tar to patch my roof. My cousin came to do it and I had to get the stuff for him. While driving I thought the second instalment in out "Hallelujah! Lets Save Wesley Liebeler Revival Program" might be on Helen Markham's perjury.

If anyone is interested, it probably should be redone. But The idea is here.

Next time we might ask him about how he located Altgens, why he didn't trust Altgens as a witness to make his own position, why he used a doctored and lousy aerial photo instead of the meaningful and existing surveyor's plat, how this conforms to the requirements of the law, especially the law he teaches, and whether, with or withour correlation with the Altgens picture it could have misled the Commission.

Also, how Altgens, when Liebeler marked the map, got to be bigger than two cars.

If you use what I sent this morning and he complains the picture I used on 203 is not the one he showed Altgens, that is true. But the one he showed Altgens was also corrupted, and Liebeler is the one who showed it to him. I have loaned my first four volumes of exhibits to someone so I cannot check. I believe the exhibit number is 203 and that the cropping is even more severe in it. Should he make such a complaint, it would be wonderful. The picture I used is from 113 of the Report and is the one used in the FBI reconstruction.

Liebeler is riding high now. He probably will not take kindly to needling. It is for this reason and its deploring lack of ethics that I keep referring to what he did through Epstein, making Epstein his creature and apologist.

By the way, does any one of you have a picture of George de Mohrenschildt? If no, do not go to any trouble to get one. It is interesting but not currently of immediate importance. It may be of none.